

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,926	11/21/2003	Yuji Takaoka	09792909-5767	3342
26263	7590 08/08/2005		EXAMINER	
SONNENSC P.O. BOX 061	HEIN NATH & ROSI	BRYANT, DELORIS S		
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	L 60606-1080		2813	

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>\Yr</u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, , , ,			
	10/719,926	TAKAOKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Deloris Bryant	2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence addres	S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this commur  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	November 2003.					
	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) <u>17-21</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>17-21</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 23 November 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. rection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stag	je			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Profesorous's Retent Proving Region (PTO 048)	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413) ail Date				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		nal Patent Application (PTO-152	)			

Application/Control Number: 10/719,926

Art Unit: 2813

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment dated May 12, 2005 in which claims 17 and 19 were amended has been entered.

### Specification

Objections to the title and specification have been overcome based on applicant's amendments.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 17-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Eichelberger (6,159,767). Eichelberger discloses a method of fabrication of a semiconductor device, comprising the steps of: die bonding of a plurality of semiconductor chips [12] on a substrate [14,Fig. 1]; forming of a single-layer insulation film [16] on said substrate, wherein a top surface and at least a portion of side surfaces of said plurality of semiconductor chips are incrusted in said insulation film [16, see Fig. 1]; forming of a connection hole reaching a semiconductor chip of said plurality of semiconductor chips on said insulation film [the hole in which 10 is formed, Fig. 1]; and forming of wiring [10] on said insulation film, wherein said wiring is connected to said semiconductor chip through said connection hole [18]. Eichelberger further discloses: forming

Application/Control Number: 10/719,926

Art Unit: 2813

of an upper layer insulation film [20] on said insulation film [16] wherein said upper layer insulation film covers said wiring [Fig. 1]; forming of a connection hole reaching said wiring, on said upper layer insulation film [Fig. 1]; and forming of an electrode on said upper layer insulation film, wherein said electrode is connected to said wiring through said connection hole [Fig. 1]; wherein said die bonding of said plurality of semiconductor chips on said substrate comprises die bonding of each said semiconductor chips on said substrate, wherein each said semiconductor chip is set so as to float on an adhesive resin applied on said substrate [Fig. 1].

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eichelberger in view of Hudak et al (5,656,552). Eichelberger does not teach a substrate comprising a silicon wafer. However, Hudak et al does teach that a "substrate may be a rigid material such as ceramic or semiconductor". Silicon is a well-known semiconductor used throughout the art. Further Eichelberger does not teach the removal of said substrate [Fig. 1]. Hudak et al, however, does teach the removal of said substrate [Fig. 8-9, col. 7, lns 63-67 and col. 8, lns 1-10]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a

Application/Control Number: 10/719,926

Art Unit: 2813

person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form a multi-chip semiconductor that is substrateless. One would have been motivated to so modify Eichelberger for the benefit of allowing the semiconductor device to have flexibility in any direction [col. 8, line 47].

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2813

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deloris Bryant whose telephone number is (703) 872-0237. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dsb

GEORGE EČKERT PRIMARY EXAMINER